arXiv:1608.07693v1 [math.AP] 27 Aug 2016

ALGEBRAIC SYSTEMS WITH LIPSCHITZ PERTURBATIONS

GIOVANNI MOLICA BISCI AND DUŠAN REPOVŠ

Abstract. By using variational methods, the existence of infinitely many solutions for a nonlinear algebraic system with a parameter is established in presence of a perturbed Lipschitz term. Our goal was achieved requiring an appropriate behavior of the nonlinear term f, either at zero or at infinity, without symmetry conditions.

1. Introduction

In many cases a problem in a continuous framework can be handled by using a suitable method from discrete mathematics, and conversely. The modeling/simulation of certain nonlinear problems from economics, biological neural networks, optimal control and others, enforced in a natural manner a rapid development of the theory of discrete equations.

In this paper, motivated by this large interest, we study the following algebraic system

$$Au = \lambda f(u) + h(u), \qquad (S^{f,h}_{A,\lambda})$$

in which $u = (u_1, ..., u_n)^t \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is a column vector, $A = (a_{ij})_{n\times n}$ is a positive-definite matrix, $f(u) := (f_1(u_1), ..., f_n(u_n))^t$, where the functions $f_k : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ are assumed to be continuous for every $k \in \mathbb{Z}[1, n] := \{1, 2, ..., n\}$, and λ is a positive parameter.

Moreover,

$$h(u) := (h_1(u_1), ..., h_n(u_n))^t,$$

where, for every $k \in \mathbb{Z}[1, n]$, the functions $h_k : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ are Lipschitz continuous with constants $L_k \geq 0$, that is:

$$|h_k(t_1) - h_k(t_2)| \leq L_k|t_1 - t_2|,$$

for every $t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{R}$, and $h_k(0) = 0$.

A large number of discrete problems can be formulated as special cases of the non-perturbed ($h = 0$) algebraic system, namely $(S^f_{A,\lambda})$; see, for instance, the papers [22, 24, 25, 26, 27] and references therein.

We also point out that the special case

$$A := \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & 0 & ... & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 & ... & 0 \\ & & \ddots & & \\ 0 & ... & -1 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & ... & 0 & -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}_{n\times n},$$

Key words and phrases. Discrete nonlinear boundary value problems; infinitely many solutions; difference equations, critical points theory.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 39A10; 34B15.

has been considered in order to study the existence of nontrivial solutions of nonlinear second-order difference equations [12, 13, 15]. Further, general references on difference equations and their applications can be found e.g. in [1, 10].

Here, by using variational methods, under the key assumption that

$$L := \max_{k\in\mathbb{Z}[1,n]} L_k < \lambda_1,$$

where λ_1 is the first eigenvalue of the matrix A, we determine open intervals of positive parameters such that problem $(S^{f,h}_{A,\lambda})$ admits either an unbounded sequence of solutions, provided that the nonlinearity f has a suitable behaviour at infinity (Theorem 3.1), or a sequence of pairwise distinct solutions that converges to zero, if a similar behaviour occurs at zero (see Theorem 3.2).

Our main tool is a recent critical point result obtained by Ricceri and recalled here in a convenient form (see Theorem 2.1).

A special case of our results reads as follows (see Remark 4.2).

Theorem 1.1. *Let $z : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a nonnegative and continuous function. Assume that*

$$\liminf_{t\to+\infty} \frac{\int_0^t z(\xi)d\xi}{t^2} = 0, \qquad \limsup_{t\to+\infty} \frac{\int_0^t z(\xi)d\xi}{t^2} = +\infty.$$

Then, for each $\lambda > 0$, and for every Lipschitz function $h : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ with sufficiently small constant L_h, the following discrete problem

$$[u(i+1,j) - 2u(i,j) + u(i-1,j)] + [u(i,j+1) - 2u(i,j) + u(i,j-1)]$$
$$+\lambda z(u(i,j)) + h(u(i,j)) = 0, \quad \forall\,(i,j) \in \mathbb{Z}[1,m] \times \mathbb{Z}[1,n]$$

with boundary conditions

$$u(i,0) = u(i,n+1) = 0, \quad \forall\, i \in \mathbb{Z}[1,m],$$
$$u(0,j) = u(m+1,j) = 0, \quad \forall\, j \in \mathbb{Z}[1,n],$$

admits an unbounded sequence of solutions.

Finally, for completeness, we just mention here that there is a vast literature on nonlinear difference equations based on fixed point and upper and lower solution methods (see [2, 8]). For related topics see the works [3, 6, 7, 21]. For a complete and exhaustive overview on variational methods we refer the reader to the monographs [11, 19].

2. Abstract Setting

Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a finite-dimensional Banach space and let $J_\lambda : X \to \mathbb{R}$ be a function satisfying the following structure hypothesis:

(Λ) for all $u \in X$, $J_\lambda(u) := \Phi(u) - \lambda\Psi(u)$ *where $\Phi, \Psi : X \to \mathbb{R}$ are two functions of class C^1 on X with Φ coercive, i.e. $\lim_{\|u\|\to\infty} \Phi(u) = +\infty$, and λ is a real positive parameter.*

Moreover, provided that $r > \inf_X \Phi$, put

$$\varphi(r) := \inf_{u\in\Phi^{-1}(]-\infty,r[)} \frac{\left(\sup_{v\in\Phi^{-1}(]-\infty,r[)} \Psi(v)\right) - \Psi(u)}{r - \Phi(u)},$$

and

$$\gamma := \liminf_{r\to+\infty} \varphi(r), \qquad \delta := \liminf_{r\to(\inf_X \Phi)^+} \varphi(r).$$

Clearly, $\gamma \geq 0$ and $\delta \geq 0$. When $\gamma = 0$ (or $\delta = 0$), in the sequel, we agree to read $1/\gamma$ (or $1/\delta$) as $+\infty$.

Theorem 2.1. *Assuming that the condition* (Λ) *holds, one has*

(a) *If* $\gamma < +\infty$ *then, for each* $\lambda \in]0, 1/\gamma[$*, the following alternative holds*:
either
(a_1) J_λ *possesses a global minimum,*
or
(a_2) *there is a sequence* $\{u_m\}$ *of critical points (local minima) of* J_λ *such that* $\lim_{m\to\infty} \Phi(u_m) = +\infty$.

(b) *If* $\delta < +\infty$ *then, for each* $\lambda \in]0, 1/\delta[$*, the following alternative holds*:
either
(b_1) *there is a global minimum of* Φ *which is a local minimum of* J_λ,
or
(b_2) *there is a sequence* $\{u_m\}$ *of pairwise distinct critical points (local minima) of* J_λ*, with* $\lim_{m\to\infty} \Phi(u_m) = \inf_X \Phi$*, which converges to a global minimum of* Φ.

Remark 2.1. Theorem 2.1 is the finite-dimensional version of the quoted multiplicity result of Ricceri from [20].

As ambient space X, consider the n-dimensional Banach space $\mathbb{R}^n$ endowed by the norm

$$\|u\| := \Big(\sum_{k=1}^{n} u_k^2\Big)^{1/2}.$$

Set $\mathfrak{X}_n$ be the class of all symmetric and positive-definite matrices of order n. Further, we denote by $\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_n$ the eigenvalues of A, ordered as follows $0 < \lambda_1 \leq ... \leq \lambda_n$.

It is well-known that if $A \in \mathfrak{X}_n$, then for every $u \in X$, one has

$$\lambda_1 \|u\|^2 \leq u^t A u \leq \lambda_n \|u\|^2, \tag{1}$$

and

$$\|u\|_\infty \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_1}} (u^t A u)^{1/2}, \tag{2}$$

where $\|u\|_\infty := \max\limits_{k\in\mathbb{Z}[1,n]} |u_k|$.

Set

$$\Phi(u) := \frac{u^t A u}{2} - \sum_{k=1}^{n} H_k(u_k), \tag{3}$$

and

$$\Psi(u) := \sum_{k=1}^{n} F_k(u_k), \qquad J_\lambda(u) := \Phi(u) - \lambda\Psi(u), \tag{4}$$

for every $u \in X$, where $H_k(t) := \displaystyle\int_0^t h_k(\xi)d\xi$ and $F_k(t) := \displaystyle\int_0^t f_k(\xi)d\xi$, for every $(k, t) \in \mathbb{Z}[1, n] \times \mathbb{R}$.

Standard arguments show that $J_\lambda \in C^1(X, \mathbb{R})$, as well as that critical points of J_λ are exactly the solutions of problem $(S^{f,h}_{A,\lambda})$; see, for instance, the paper [23].

Lemma 2.1. *Set*

$$L := \max_{k\in\mathbb{Z}[1,n]} L_k < \lambda_1. \tag{5}$$

Then the functional Φ is coercive.

Proof. Bearing in mind (1), since h_k is a Lipschitz continuous function (for every $k \in \mathbb{Z}[1,n]$) with constant $L_k \geq 0$ and $h_k(0) = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi(u) &\geq \frac{\lambda_1}{2}\|u\|^2 - \sum_{k=1}^{n} |H_k(u_k)| \geq \frac{1}{2}\|u\|^2 - \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(\int_0^{u_k} |h_k(t)|dt\right)\\ &\geq \frac{\lambda_1}{2}\|u\|^2 - L\sum_{k=1}^{n}\int_0^{u_k} |t|dt = \frac{1}{2}\|u\|^2 - \frac{L}{2}\sum_{k=1}^{n} u_k^2\\ &= \left(\frac{\lambda_1 - L}{2}\right)\|u\|^2.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, by (5), the above relation implies that the functional Φ is coercive. □

3. Main results

Set

$$A_\infty := \liminf_{t\to+\infty} \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{n}\max_{|\xi|\leq t} F_k(\xi)}{t^2}, \qquad \text{and} \qquad B^\infty := \limsup_{t\to+\infty} \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{n} F_k(t)}{t^2}.$$

From now on we shall assume that the functions $h_k : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, for every $k \in \mathbb{Z}[1,n]$, are Lipschitz continuous with constants $L_k \geq 0$ such that condition (5) holds.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $A \in \mathfrak{X}_n$ and assume that the following inequality holds*

$$(\mathrm{h}_\infty^L) \qquad A_\infty < \frac{\lambda_1 - L}{\mathrm{Tr}(A) + 2\sum_{i<j} a_{ij} + nL} B^\infty.$$

Then, for each

$$\lambda \in \left]\frac{\mathrm{Tr}(A) + 2\sum_{i<j} a_{ij} + nL}{2B^\infty}, \frac{\lambda_1 - L}{2A_\infty}\right[,$$

problem $(S_{A,\lambda}^{f,h})$ admits an unbounded sequence of solutions.

Proof. Fix λ as in the assertion of the theorem and put Φ, Ψ, J_λ as in (3) and (4). Since the critical points of J_λ are the solutions of problem $(S_{A,\lambda}^{f,h})$, our aim is to apply Theorem 2.1 part (a) to function J_λ. Clearly (Λ) holds.

Therefore, our conclusion follows provided that $\gamma < +\infty$ as well as that J_λ turns out to be unbounded from below. To this end, let $\{c_m\}$ be a real sequence such that $\lim_{m\to\infty} c_m = +\infty$ and

$$\lim_{m\to\infty} \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{n}\max_{|\xi|\leq c_m} F_k(\xi)}{c_m^2} = A_\infty,$$

Write

$$r_m := \frac{\lambda_1 - L}{2} c_m^2,$$

for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Since, owing to (2), it follows that

$$\{v \in X : v^t A v < 2r_m\} \subset \{v \in X : |v_k| \leq c_m \ \forall\, k \in \mathbb{Z}[1,n]\},$$

and we obtain

$$\varphi(r_m) \leq \frac{\sup\limits_{v^t A v < 2r_m} \sum\limits_{k=1}^{n} F_k(v_k)}{r_m} \leq \frac{\sum\limits_{k=1}^{n} \max\limits_{|t|\leq c_m} F_k(t)}{r_m} = \frac{2}{\lambda_1 - L} \frac{\sum\limits_{k=1}^{n} \max\limits_{|t|\leq c_m} F_k(t)}{c_m^2}.$$

Hence, it follows that

$$\gamma \leq \lim_{m\to\infty} \varphi(r_m) \leq \frac{2}{\lambda_1 - L} A_\infty < \frac{1}{\lambda} < +\infty.$$

Now, we verify that J_λ is unbounded from below. First, assume that $B^\infty = +\infty$. Accordingly, fix such M that

$$M > \frac{\mathrm{Tr}(A) + 2\sum_{i<j} a_{ij} + nL}{2\lambda}$$

and let $\{b_m\}$ be a sequence of positive numbers, with $\lim\limits_{m\to\infty} b_m = +\infty$, such that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} F_k(b_m) > M b_m^2, \qquad (\forall\, m \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Thus, taking in X the sequence $\{s_m\}$ which, for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, is given by $(s_m)_k := b_m$ for every $k \in \mathbb{Z}[1,n]$, owing to (1) and noting that

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(u) &\leq \frac{u^t A u}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left(\int_0^{u_k} |h_k(t)| dt \right) \\ &\leq \frac{u^t A u}{2} + \frac{L}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n} u_k^2 \\ &= \frac{u^t A u}{2} + \frac{L}{2} \|u\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

one immediately has

$$\begin{aligned} J_\lambda(s_m) &= \frac{s_m^t A s_m}{2} - \lambda \sum_{k=1}^{n} F_k(b_m) \\ &\leq \frac{\mathrm{Tr}(A) + 2\sum_{i<j} a_{ij} + nL}{2} b_m^2 - \lambda \sum_{k=1}^{n} F_k(b_m) \\ &< \left(\frac{\mathrm{Tr}(A) + 2\sum_{i<j} a_{ij} + nL}{2} - \lambda M \right) b_m^2. \end{aligned}$$

that is, $\lim\limits_{m\to\infty} J_\lambda(s_m) = -\infty$.

Next, assume that $B^\infty < +\infty$. Since

$$\lambda > \frac{\mathrm{Tr}(A) + 2\sum_{i<j} a_{ij} + nL}{2B^\infty},$$

we can fix $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$\varepsilon < B^\infty - \frac{\mathrm{Tr}(A) + 2\sum_{i<j} a_{ij} + nL}{2\lambda}.$$

Therefore, also calling $\{b_m\}$ a sequence of positive numbers such that $\lim_{m\to\infty} b_m = +\infty$ and

$$(B^\infty - \varepsilon)b_m^2 < \sum_{k=1}^{n} F_k(b_m) < (B^\infty + \varepsilon)b_m^2, \qquad (\forall\, m \in \mathbb{N})$$

arguing as before and by choosing $\{s_m\}$ in X as above, one has

$$J_\lambda(s_m) < \left(\frac{\mathrm{Tr}(A) + 2\sum_{i<j} a_{ij} + nL}{2} - \lambda(B^\infty - \varepsilon)\right) b_m^2.$$

So, $\lim_{m\to\infty} J_\lambda(s_m) = -\infty$.

Hence, in both cases J_λ is unbounded from below. The proof is thus complete. □

Remark 3.1. If f_k are nonnegative continuous functions, condition (h_∞^L) reads as follows

$$\liminf_{t\to+\infty} \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{n} F_k(t)}{t^2} < \frac{\lambda_1 - L}{\mathrm{Tr}(A) + 2\sum_{i<j} a_{ij} + nL} \limsup_{t\to+\infty} \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{n} F_k(t)}{t^2}.$$

Arguing as in the proof of Theorem 3.1 and applying part (b) of Theorem 2.1, we obtain the following result.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $A \in \mathfrak{X}_n$ and assume that the following inequality holds*

$$(\mathrm{h}_0^L) \qquad A_0 < \frac{\lambda_1 - L}{\mathrm{Tr}(A) + 2\sum_{i<j} a_{ij} + nL} B^0.$$

Then, for each

$$\lambda \in \left]\frac{\mathrm{Tr}(A) + 2\sum_{i<j} a_{ij} + nL}{2B^0}, \frac{\lambda_1 - L}{2A_0}\right[,$$

problem $(S_{A,\lambda}^f)$ admits a sequence of nontrivial solutions $\{u_m\}$ such that $\lim_{m\to\infty} \|u_m\| = \lim_{m\to\infty} \|u_m\|_\infty = 0$.

4. Application

In this section we consider a discrete system, namely $(E_\lambda^{f,h})$, given as follows

$$[u(i+1,j) - 2u(i,j) + u(i-1,j)] + [u(i,j+1) - 2u(i,j) + u(i,j-1)]$$
$$+\lambda f((i,j), u(i,j)) + h(u(i,j)) = 0, \quad \forall\, (i,j) \in \mathbb{Z}[1,m] \times \mathbb{Z}[1,n],$$

with boundary conditions

$$u(i,0) = u(i,n+1) = 0, \quad \forall\, i \in \mathbb{Z}[1,m],$$
$$u(0,j) = u(m+1,j) = 0, \quad \forall\, j \in \mathbb{Z}[1,n],$$

where $f : \mathbb{Z}[1,m] \times \mathbb{Z}[1,n] \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ denotes a continuous function, λ is a positive real parameter and $h : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a Lipschitz continuous function with constant L_h.

As ambient space X, we consider the mn-dimensional Banach space $\mathbb{R}^{mn}$ endowed by the norm

$$\|u\| := \Big(\sum_{k=1}^{mn} u_k^2\Big)^{1/2}.$$

Further, if $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, the symbol $\mathfrak{M}_{\ell\times\ell}(\mathbb{R})$ stands for the linear space of all the matrices of order ℓ with real entries.

Let $v : \mathbb{Z}[1,m] \times \mathbb{Z}[1,n] \to \mathbb{Z}[1,mn]$ be the bijection defined by $v(i,j) := i + m(j-1)$, for every $(i,j) \in \mathbb{Z}[1,m] \times \mathbb{Z}[1,n]$.

Let us denote $w_k := u(v^{-1}(k))$ and $g_k(w_k) := f(v^{-1}(k), w_k)$, for every $k \in \mathbb{Z}[1, mn]$. With the above notations, problem $(E_\lambda^{f,h})$ can be written as a nonlinear algebraic system of the form

$$Aw = \lambda g(w) + \widetilde{h}(w), \qquad (S_{A,\lambda}^{g,\widetilde{h}})$$

where A is given by

$$A := \begin{pmatrix} D & -I_m & 0 & 0 & ... & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -I_m & D & -I_m & 0 & ... & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -I_m & D & -I_m & ... & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -I_m & D & ... & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & & & & \ddots & & & & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & ... & D & -I_m & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & ... & -I_m & D & -I_m & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & ... & 0 & -I_m & D & -I_m \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & ... & 0 & 0 & -I_m & D \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{M}_{mn\times mn}(\mathbb{R}),$$

in which D is defined by

$$D := \begin{pmatrix} 4 & -1 & 0 & 0 & ... & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 4 & -1 & 0 & ... & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 4 & -1 & ... & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 4 & ... & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & & & & \ddots & & & & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & ... & 4 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & ... & -1 & 4 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & ... & 0 & -1 & 4 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & ... & 0 & 0 & -1 & 4 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{M}_{m\times m}(\mathbb{R}),$$

$I_m \in \mathfrak{M}_{m\times m}(\mathbb{R})$ is the identity matrix and $g(w) := (g_1(w_1), ..., g_{mn}(w_{mn}))^t$, $\widetilde{h}(w) := (h(w_1), ..., h(w_{mn}))^t$, for every $w \in X$.

In [9], Ji and Yang studied the structure of the spectrum of the above (non-perturbed) Dirichlet problem. By their result we have that $A \in \mathfrak{X}_{mn}$.

It is easy to observe that the solutions of $(E_\lambda^{f,h})$ are the critical points of the C^1-functional

$$J_\lambda(w) := \frac{w^t A w}{2} - \lambda \sum_{k=1}^{mn} \int_0^{w_k} g_k(t)dt - \sum_{k=1}^{mn} \int_0^{w_k} h(t)dt, \quad \forall\, w \in X.$$

Denote by λ_A the first eigenvalue of the matrix A. By using the above variational framework, Theorem 3.1 assumes the following form.

Theorem 4.1. *Assume that $\lambda_A < L_h$, in addition to*

$$(\mathrm{h}_\infty^h) \qquad \liminf_{t\to+\infty} \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{mn} \max_{|\xi|\le t} \int_0^\xi g_k(s)ds}{t^2} < \frac{\lambda_A - L_h}{(2+L_h)(m+n)} \limsup_{t\to+\infty} \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{mn} \int_0^t g_k(s)ds}{t^2}.$$

Then for each

$$\lambda \in \left]\frac{(2+L_h)(m+n)}{2B^\infty}, \frac{\lambda_A - L_h}{2A_\infty}\right[,$$

problem $(E_\lambda^{f,h})$ admits an unbounded sequence of solutions.

Remark 4.1. Substituting $\xi \to +\infty$ with $\xi \to 0^+$ in Theorem 4.1, the same statement as Theorem 3.2 is easily proved.

Remark 4.2. We just point out that Theorem 1.1 in Introduction directly follows by Theorem 4.1 assuming that $L_h < \lambda_A$.

Remark 4.3. We refer to the paper of Galewski and Orpel [5] for some multiplicity results on discrete partial difference equations as well as to the monograph of Cheng [4] for their discrete geometrical interpretation. See also the papers [14, 16, 17, 18] for recent contribution on discrete problems.

Acknowledgements. This paper was written when the first author was visiting professor at the University of Ljubljana in 2013. He expresses his gratitude to the host institution for warm hospitality. The manuscript was realized within the auspices of the GNAMPA Project 2013 titled *Problemi non-locali di tipo Laplaciano frazionario* and the SRA grants P1-0292-0101 and J1-5435-0101.

References

[1] R. P. Agarwal, Difference Equations and Inequalities: theory, methods and applications, Marcel Dekker, New York-Basel, 2000.

[2] C. Bereanu and J. Mawhin, *Existence and multiplicity results for nonlinear second order difference equations with Dirichlet boundary conditions*, Math. Bohem. **131** (2006) 145-160.

[3] X. Cai and J. Yu, *Existence theorems of periodic solutions for second-order nonlinear difference equations*, Adv. Difference Equ. 2008 (2008) Article ID 247071.

[4] S.S. Cheng, Partial difference equations, Taylor & Francis, London, 2003.

[5] M. Galewski and A. Orpel, *On the existence of solutions for discrete elliptic boundary value problems*, Appl. Anal. **89** (2010), 1879-1891.

[6] M. Galewski and J. Smejda, *On variational methods for nonlinear difference equations*, J. Comput. Appl. Math. **233**: 11 (2010) 2985-2993.

[7] T. He and Y. Su, *On discrete fourth-order boundary value problems with three parameters*, J. Comput. Appl. Math. **233** (2010) 2506-2520.

[8] J. Henderson and H.B. Thompson, *Existence of multiple solutions for second order discrete boundary value problems*, Comput. Math. Appl. **43** (2002) 1239-1248.

[9] J. Ji and B. Yang, *Eigenvalue comparisons for boundary value problems of the discrete elliptic equation*, Comm. Appl. Anal. **12** (2) (2008), 189-197.

[10] W. G. Kelly and A. C. Peterson, Difference Equations, An introduction with applications, Academic Press, San Diego-NewYork, 1991.

[11] A. Kristály, V. Rădulescu and Cs. Varga, Variational Principles in Mathematical Physics, Geometry, and Economics: Qualitative Analysis of Nonlinear Equations and Unilateral Problems, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications **136**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2010.

[12] A. Kristály, M. Mihăilescu, V. Rădulescu and S. Tersian, *Spectral estimates for a nonhomogeneous difference problem*, Comm. Contemp. Math. **12** (6) (2010), 1015-1029.

[13] A. Kristály, M. Mihăilescu and V. Rădulescu, *Discrete boundary value problems involving oscillatory nonlinearities: small and large solutions*, J. Difference Equ. Appl. **17** (2011), 1431-1440.

[14] N. Marcu and G. Molica Bisci, *Existence and multiplicity results for nonlinear discrete inclusions*, Electron. J. Differential Equations (2012), 1-13.

[15] M. Mihăilescu, V. Rădulescu and S. Tersian, *Eigenvalue Problems for Anisotropic Discrete Boundary Value Problems*, J. Difference Equ. Appl. **15** (2009), 557-567.

[16] G. Molica Bisci and D. Repovš, *On some variational algebraic problems*, Adv. Nonlinear Anal. **2** (2013), 127-146.

[17] G. Molica Bisci and D. Repovš, *Nonlinear Algebraic Systems with discontinuous terms*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **398** (2013), 846-856.

[18] G. Molica Bisci and D. Repovš, *On sequences of solutions for discrete anisotropic equations*, Expo. Math. 32:3 (2014), 284-295.

[19] D. Motreanu and V. Rădulescu, Variational and non-variational methods in nonlinear analysis and boundary value problems, Nonconvex Optimization and its Applications **67**, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 2003.

[20] B. Ricceri, *A general variational principle and some of its applications*, J. Comput. Appl. Math. **133** (2000), 401-410.

[21] P. Stehlík, *On variational methods for periodic discrete problems*, J. Difference Equ. Appl. **14** (3) (2008), 259-273.
[22] Y. Yang and J. Zhang, *Existence results for a nonlinear system with a parameter*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **340** (1) (2008), 658-668.
[23] Y. Yang and J. Zhang, *Existence and multiple solutions for a nonlinear system with a parameter*, Nonlinear Anal. **70** (7) (2009), 2542-2548.
[24] G. Zhang, *Existence of non-zero solutions for a nonlinear system with a parameter*, Nonlinear Anal. **66** (6) (2007), 1410-1416.
[25] G. Zhang and L. Bai, *Existence of solutions for a nonlinear algebraic system*, Discrete Dyn. Nat. Soc. (2009), 1-28.
[26] G. Zhang and S.S. Cheng, *Existence of solutions for a nonlinear algebraic system with a parameter*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **314** (2006), 311-319.
[27] G. Zhang and W. Feng, *On the number of positive solutions of a nonlinear algebraic system*, Linear Algebra Appl. **422** (2007), 404-421.

(G. Molica Bisci) Dipartimento P.A.U., Università degli Studi Mediterranea di Reggio Calabria, Salita Melissari - Feo di Vito, 89124 Reggio Calabria, Italy
E-mail address: gmolica@unirc.it

(D. Repovš) Faculty of Education, and Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, University of Ljubljana, POB 2964, Ljubljana, Slovenia 1001
E-mail address: dusan.repovs@guest.arnes.si